CGAP Reveals First Performance Figures on Microfinance Funds
A new Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP) report reveals an increasingly sophisticated microfinance investment market with a wide spectrum of options, including fixed-income mutual funds, structured finance vehicles and private equity and venture capital companies. Returns range from an average of 8 percent for structured finance vehicles at the highest to as low as zero for some young funds. Foreign fixed-income investment has been dominated by a few funds investing in hard currency in a small number of high-growth, large microfinance institutions (MFIs). Overall equity investment has lagged behind fixed-income investment, as few microfinance institutions are legally structured to take equity and exit options are scarce. Microfinance investment funds grew at an annual rate of almost 80 percent between 2004 and 2007, totaling USD 3.5 billion in 2007. The authors warn that the debt market has “become overheated and pricing does not reflect real risks.” They also refer to the under-appreciation of savings as a source of funds for MFIs and to the increasing presence of non-social investors, who may both encourage harsh repayment methods and increase the availability of microfinance to the hitherto unbanked. March 11, 2008

Nigeria Creates $426m Microcredit Development Fund
Nigerian President Alhaji Musa Yar’Adua announced the creation of a microcredit development fund worth USD 426 million to be administered by the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). The new fund will be used to provide existing microfinance institutions (MFIs) with funding for credit creation and operational expenses. The creation of the fund has been expected by the development community for some time. The announcement took place on February 19 at CBN’s Banks and the Nigerian Economy conference and was followed by a request whereby Yar’Adua asked states and municipal governments to contribute one percent of their annual budgets to the fund. This comes after MicroCapital reported on a consolidation of the Nigerian banking community that closed down at least 143 MFIs leaving 716 in operation. As of October 2007, CBN reported total assets equivalent to USD 61.4 million. February 22, 2008

Goldman Sachs to Open $100m Entrepreneurship Academy
Goldman Sachs (GS) has committed USD 100 million over the next five years to educational projects for businesswomen in developing countries, many with help from local microfinance banks. Most have little formal business education and face problems as access to finance, education and access to a network of mentors. GS is hoping to create a new model of management education designed to help these women learn everything from writing a business plan to marketing their own business. The company will be teaming up with a coalition of business schools, including Wharton, Columbia and Harvard. March 10, 2008

*Want More? Subscribe Today
This month our subscriber edition included 13 pages brimming with the best microfinance news available, including many more briefs, research reviews and event listings. We invite you to request a free sample via info@microcapital.org.

**FREE PREVIEW EDITION**
This is a free preview of a report available by SUBSCRIPTION ONLY: Support our emerging industry by subscribing at www.MicroCapital.org
MICROCAPITAL BRIEFS

Peru’s MiBanco Rumored to be Planning IPO
Peru’s leading microlender MiBanco may be planning an initial public offering on the Lima stock market this year. The Peruvian bank would become the second large Latin American microfinance institution to list shares following Mexico’s Banco Compartamos, which raised over USD 400 million last year. ACP Inversiones y Desarrollo holds a controlling stake in MiBanco, which had over USD 512 million in assets and a net worth of USD 59 million as of September 30. March 4, 2008

FMO Loans $9m to Afghan FMFB-A
The Netherlands Development Finance Company (FMO) reports that it will provide First MicroFinance Bank Afghanistan (FMFB-A) with local currency loans equivalent to USD 9 million. FMFB-A is a majority-owned subsidiary of Aga Khan Agency for Microfinance (AKAM), with IFC and KfW participating as minority shareholders. In 2007 FMFB-A had a net loan portfolio of USD 25.9 million. FMO is one of the largest bilateral development banks in the world. AKAM is a nonprofit, non-denominational, international development agency. February 29, 2008

Soros, Omidyar, Google Start $17m VC Company in India
The Soros Economic Development Fund, Omidyar Network and Google.org announced a new USD 17 million Small to Medium Enterprise Investment Company for India. The company will house its staff at the Indian School of Business (ISB). February 28, 2008
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Harvard Business School (HBS) and ACCION International invite you to apply for high-level strategy and leadership training for those shaping the microfinance industry—including CEOs of leading microfinance institutions and executives of mainstream banks.

HBS’s world-renowned faculty will discuss:

- Succeeding in highly competitive financial sectors
- Maintaining a social focus in a commercial setting
- Reaching new business segments
- Evolving products and delivery channels

Expanded for Its Third Year
June 15–21, 2008
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Apply Early: space is limited to 60 participants. Applications accepted until April 30, 2008. Details and applications at accion.org/hbs.
Compartamos Reports 33.7% Increase in Net Income in 2007
Banco Compartamos, Mexico's largest microfinance bank and a lightning rod in the debate on for-profit microlending, reported a net income for 2007 equivalent to USD 81.5 million, a 33.7 percent increase from 2006. The company has seen steady declines in its share price since its peak last July. The bank's assets total USD 474.4 million. Its return on assets is 17.19 percent and its debt-equity ratio is 123.33 percent. February 28, 2008

Oikocredit Invests $7.4m Worldwide in January 2008
The Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP) and MIX, the microfinance information clearinghouse, reported that Oikocredit, a co-operative financial development institution in the Netherlands, loaned USD 7.4 million in January 2008. USD 3.95 million was invested in three Asian microfinance institutions (MFIs): Opportunity Bank, Kompanion and Microloan Fund (MLF) Microinvest. The remaining USD 3.45 million was invested in three Latin American MFIs: Prestanian, FONDECO and MiCrédit. Oikocredit reported USD 455.8 million in total assets at yearend 2006. February 22, 2008

Xigi.net Launches Social Capital Index
Xigi.net has just launched a new Social Capital Index, whose aim is to provide a comprehensive bank of information on "blended value" investment instruments and transactions, which aim to create economic, social and environmental value. February 28, 2008

WSJ & FT Aflutter Over Grameen's $176m for New York
Both the Financial Times and the Wall Street Journal recently interviewed Muhammad Yunus for articles on Grameen Bank's first loans to customers in the US, where about 28 million people have no bank account. The volume of payday loans here, which can charge interest rates in excess of 1,500 percent, has almost doubled to USD 48 billion in the past five years. Grameen has lent USD 145,000 in the US since January at interest rates near 15 percent. During the next five years it plans to offer USD 176 million in loans within New York City and then expand to the rest of the US. After beginning with small loans to micro-entrepreneurs Grameen plans to expand into other businesses such as remittances and mortgages, as it has done in Bangladesh. February 20 and March 4, 2008
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### TOP 10 MICROFINANCE INSTITUTIONS (MFIs) BY GROWTH IN GROSS LOAN PORTFOLIO: CHANGE IN USD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MFI Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Absolute 2005</th>
<th>% Change 2005</th>
<th>Absolute 2006</th>
<th>% Change 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank Rakyat Indonesia</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>706,968,736</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>2,317,903,872</td>
<td>3,024,872,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam Bank for Social Policies</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>60,329,195</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1,087,404,160</td>
<td>1,147,733,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association of Cambodian Local Economic Development Agencies</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>58,176,401</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>99,899,712</td>
<td>158,076,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRASAC MFI</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>10,866,541</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>11,129,065</td>
<td>21,995,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Agriculture and Rural Development</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>7,469,047</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>8,590,148</td>
<td>16,059,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Valley Bank (formerly Rural Bank of Kapatagan Valley)</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>6,700,965</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>15,369,946</td>
<td>22,070,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amret (formerly EMT)</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>6,255,557</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>11,286,200</td>
<td>17,541,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian Entrepreneur Building</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>6,011,495</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>6,273,406</td>
<td>12,284,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taytay Sa Kauswagan</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>3,298,583</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>11,365,307</td>
<td>14,663,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Isabela Cooperative Bank</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>3,144,953</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>5,101,775</td>
<td>8,246,727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PERCENT OF MFIs IN MARKET BY SIZE (NUMBER OF ACTIVE BORROWERS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100k</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50k</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20k</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10k</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1k</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MARKET SHARE BY MFI SIZE (NUMBER OF ACTIVE BORROWERS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100k</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50k</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20k</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10k</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1) Denotes only MFIs that report data for 2005 and 2006 to MicroBanking Bulletin (MBB) or MIX Market.
Source: Microfinance Information eXchange, Inc., December 2007, based on MFIs reporting to MBB or MIX Market.

This is a free preview of a report available by SUBSCRIPTION ONLY: Support our emerging industry by subscribing at www.MicroCapital.org
Aloysius P. Fernandez

MicroCapital: How did you get started in social finance?

AF: During the 1971 Bangladesh War, running a refugee program, I discovered the poverty and suffering there. I began to understand the causes of poverty, and I said, "Well, I've got to intervene in some way. Should I intervene in land? That's difficult. Should I intervene in wages? That's difficult." So I said, "Let's look at capital." Then, we started cooperative societies, and these cooperatives broke down....

Even if the government made regulations to ensure that poor people and the lower castes were on board, the chairman of the society was always more powerful. Therefore the other people we had trained just got angry one day and said, "We refuse to return the money to the society because the president and secretary are exploiting us." But, the poorer people said, "We want to return the money." I said, "To whom?" They said, "To you!" Then off the top we said, "Why don't you return it to yourself?"

One woman said, "My daughter is sick and I want some money." So we said, "Ask the others." They said, "Yes, she's sick; we'll give you a loan from our group." It started with the sickness, and then one wanted money for some food. She said, "We usually go to the president of the cooperative and borrow money, so we are not going to go now." So the group lent her money for some food, and that broke the relationship of power between the cooperative president and her.

We started analyzing this, and then we said, "Now, how do we introduce this in some policy change?" We were a bit ambitious, I must say. So we said, "If anybody can accept this as an alternate system, it's only NABARD (National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development) because they can then change policy at the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and push this because they have influence over the regional rural banks and the commercial banks." We went to NABARD Chairman and CEO P. R. Nayak and said, "You're having problems getting money to the poor; here is money for the poor." He said, "Oh! That's something new." I must give NABARD and RBI full marks because they got these policies through when nobody was talking about them in 1990 and 1991.

In 1989, he asked me, "Now, what policy change do you want?" I said, "Allow the banks to give loans without asking for the purpose. Why are you so particular about giving based on viable loans and unit costs?" They would give loans for sheep. There would have to be 20 female sheep and one male sheep, so that was supposed to be a viable unit. But, if you give such a big unit to a single woman, she has to leave all her other work and look after this. So, in order to survive she will sell two sheep. There goes your viable unit. We found that 60 percent of the recovery didn't come from the asset, so why are you wasting your time? Let people decide [how to use their loans].

MC: Do you feel that the current legislation from NABARD and RBI fails to leave room for the private sector?

AF: What did the government do? It tried to introduce a bill which I personally thought was a very badly drafted bill. NGOs are not built for lending money. What has happened in the last five or six years is a number of NGOs have started lending money. There is one microfinance institution starting everyday in India. I think microfinance has a big role to play in India, but let's make a distinction. One: let us have microfinance for people who need it. It can be for-profit, fast-growing, have good substantial interest rates and have good profits; no problem. But if you want to have microfinance in the context of poverty, then there are certain limitations. One is that it has to have reasonably affordable interest rates because the investment in agricultural and rural areas doesn’t give you a very high return. Two: it has to be in the context of development or in the context of organizations lowering the risk of development. Therefore, if you are looking at it in the context of poverty, you can’t look at microfinance as a single bullet. It has to be embedded in a much broader framework, and it has to be tempered by social concern. At the same time, microfinance institutions involved in poverty can be financially sustainable, as Sanghamithra Rural Financial Services (Sanghamithra) has proved. You need to create growth first and that growth rises either by private sector, by government or by NGOs, and that creates options where then microfinance can go in.

"We want to return the money."

I said, "To whom?"

They said, "To you!"

Then off the top, we said, "Why don't you return it to yourself?"

Now, let me give you a simple example. When MYRADA discovered that self-help affinity group (SAG) members were giving loans for dryland agriculture, which is a high-risk proposition in our Deccan plateau, MYRADA then decided that we had to lower the risk. So, we went into watershed management, which is managing water and soil in a whole micro-watershed which then improves the productivity of the soil, water retention and water use. We say, "Bring the soil back to life," and we have a compass, trenches, slit applications, green manure and rotation of crops. We bring all that in. That’s MYRADA’s job, not Sanghamithra’s job.

What did we do? We lessened the risk of this investment. So, you can’t just give credit. You really have to look at a variety of things and unfortunately, many of these new MFIs just want to grow, and now they’re beginning to have difficulty because money isn’t coming back. I have had enough experiences where microfinance institutions are using very rough methods to recover money. I’ve had, personally, to go and bail people out of jail because of this.
PIONEERS IN MICROFINANCE (Continued)

MC: That's a very poigniant image. So are you saying that there must be governmental or charitable subsidies?

AF: Sanghamithra started with a grant - because I'm now 68 and I can't live too long, so we said, "We have to get it moving fast" - but, Sanghamithra lives on loans. It borrows from the bank and on-lends. So, you do need a little push in the beginning; I don’t see why not. Very often when they look at our SAGs lending for education, they say, "Oh, this is consumption," and I tell them, "You guys wouldn't be sitting here if somebody didn't educate you." So, I find no problem in investing some money in starting MFIs. There are a lot of generous people saying, "Okay, we'll help you for three years."

Now the SAG model is completely self-reliant because the groups are federated into sets of 20, and they collect information on how the money is used. The sets of 20 groups are then federated into what we call, "community managed resource centers," which are about 120 SAGs and watershed associations. The community managed resource centers are today sustainable. We have got about 89 of them. About 30 are raising all the money they require for a building, internet, you name it. Most of the buildings are given by the local government, in fact. To them, 20 self-help groups is quite a locally strong political group. It's power.

You’ve got to change power relations. So, for the SAG model, if I had adopted a banking model where I lent to individuals, I would need about 3,000 staff, at least, to manage these 12,000 groups. We have none. The federations collect information, and we computerize it. We just help them to understand how the money is used, and we then identify trends in livelihoods and try to support them to improve in value and scale. So, the self-help group is a model that doesn’t require staff. The only money I need is to run programs: agricultural development, new watershed programs, housing, sanitation and drinking water. We are in all these areas, but we need money for that.

MC: What types of challenges have you had to overcome?

AF: We didn't have to keep the groups together. It was something internal. This was the biggest discovery: that traditionally you build on people's strengths and not on people's needs. If you make analyses of needs, you develop a dependency relationship between them and you.

The biggest challenge today is the quality of self-help groups (SHGs). In India, it became a national policy in 2000, and then the quality declined because they started forming groups without giving target-oriented training. But, of course, you have 3.2 million of them in India today. Now, we have to work for quality all over here. That’s when we changed our name to "self-help affinity group." "Because," we said, "we have to distinguish our groups, which are based on affinity and which have all this training, from groups that are formed overnight and which are not homogenous groups." There are no subsidies in the self-help group. The subsidy in training the group; not in capitalizing it. So, that’s when we called it "SAG."

MC: What do you think is your most enduring or important achievement and what was the key to this success?

AF: I made one or two decisions. One was, if I want this movement to spread in India, then I cannot project MRADA as the beginner and the founder because everybody gets too jealous about that. So, let's get NABARD to work on it.

The key to success is consistency because you can’t change policies within two years, which the government tries to do sometimes. We’ve got nearly 600 full-time staff, and they’re all in the field, and they were the ones that really carried it forward. Today, we have 12,000 self-help groups. It was all done by my colleagues. Without them, you couldn’t do anything. I consider the great success to be holding them all together for the last 25 years.  
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